

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/977,621	NAKAO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626	

All Participants:
Status of Application: 71

 (1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

(3) _____.

 (2) David R. Kurlandsky {Reg. No. 41,505}.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 September 2003
Time: 3:20pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 17

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner called Applicants' representative for permission to: (1) correct C(1)-C(4) alkoxy under the definition of Q(2) in claim 1; and (2) correct the nomenclature of the compound in claim 17.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)